

**2011 Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Program  
City of Cincinnati/Hamilton County (Ohio)  
Large Group Scoring Recommendations (V2.2)**

---

**New Projects**

This scoring scheme is unchanged from 2010. The ratio of rated (pre-scored) items to ranked (group-scored) items is 50:50. **A total score of 60 is the minimum required for inclusion in the CoC application.**

<i>Scoring element</i>	<i>Points</i>	<i>Comment</i>
<b>Leverage (Measured)</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Less than 1:1 = Ineligible</div> 1:1 to 1.9:1 = 4 points 2:0 to 2.9:1 = 6 points (except S+C) 2:0 or more = 10 points (S+C only) 3:0 or more = 10 points (except S+C)	10	No change from 2010.
<b>Housing Focus (Measured)</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Under 80% = Ineligible</div> 80-89% = 25 points 90-99% = 35 points 100% = 40 points	40	Minimum required is raised from 75% to 80%.  Greater housing focus improves NOFA score.  Housing focus is a direct measure of attention to homelessness <i>per se</i> .
<b>Need/Extent of Problem Addressed</b>	15	No change from 2010.
<b>Impact on Ending Homelessness</b>	10	No change from 2010.
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	10	No change from 2010.
<b>Organizational Capacity</b>	15	No change from 2010
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	

**Renewal Projects *WITH* Housing and Income Outcomes**

The balance of scoring categories and point assignments described below results in 50% of the available points coming from measured results (i.e., pre-scored categories) and 50% from rated categories (i.e., community scoring). **A total score of 60 is the minimum required for inclusion in the NOFA**

<i>Scoring element</i>	<i>Points</i>	<i>Comment</i>	
<p><b>Grant Money Drawn (Measured)</b></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>Under 75% = Ineligible</td> </tr> </table> <p>75-79% = 1 point            80-89% = 3 points            90-110% = 5 points            Over 110% = 2 points</p>	Under 75% = Ineligible	5	<p>No change from 2010.</p> <p>Grant Money Drawn is not a HUD scoring factor.</p> <p>Timely use of funds is a HUD requirement.</p>
Under 75% = Ineligible			
<p><b>Housing Focus (Measured)</b></p> <p>Under 50% = 0 points            50-74% = 1 points            75-89% = 3 points            90-100% = 5 points</p>	5	<p>No change from 2010.</p>	

## **Housing Results**

Three different **Housing Results** scoring scales will be used – **one for PSH, one for TH and one for SSO projects**. The reason for this is two-fold.

1. The historic community results in each category are different..
2. The HUD 2009 national objective was different for PSH and TH (77% vs. 65%).

The scales themselves are based on the principle that achieving the HUD objective (where one exists) should be required for a passing (60%) score and that achievement of the community standard should receive 80% of the total available points while retaining an incentive for exceeding that level.

<i><b>Scoring element</b></i>	<i><b>Points</b></i>	<i><b>Comment</b></i>
<b>PSH Housing Results (Measured)</b> 93% - 100% 20 points 87% - 92% 16 points 77% - 86% 12 points 50% - 76% 5 points Under 50% 0 points	20	<u>Definition:</u> The percentage of <b>households</b> participating in the program who remained in the program a minimum of 6 months.  Total unchanged from 2010. HUD standard = 77% Community results = 87%
<b>TH Housing Results (Measured)</b> 82% - 100% 20 points 76% - 81% 16 points 65% - 75% 12 points 50% - 64% 5 points Under 50% 0 points	20	<u>Definition:</u> The percentage of <b>households</b> leaving the program during the most recent APR timeframe who moved to permanent housing.  HUD standard = 65% Community results = 76%
<b>SSO Housing Results (Measured)</b> 94% - 100% 20 points 88% - 93% 16 points 78% - 87% 12 points 50% - 77% 5 points Under 50% 0 points	20	<u>Definition:</u> The percentage of <b>households</b> leaving the program during the most recent APR timeframe who moved to <b>Transitional or Permanent</b> Housing.  There is no HUD standard for this category.  Community results = 88%

## **Income Results**

Three different **Income Results** scoring scales will be used – **one for PSH, one for TH and one for SSO projects**. The reason for this is that the historic community results in each category are different. *This is a change from 2010 when there was only one scale for all types of programs.*

The scales themselves are based on the principle that achievement of the community standard should receive 80% of the total available points while retaining an incentive for exceeding that level. As there is no HUD standard in this area, the 60% level is somewhat arbitrary.

<i><b>Scoring element</b></i>	<i><b>Points</b></i>	<i><b>Comment</b></i>
<b>PSH Income Results (Measured)</b> 96% - 100% 10 points 90% - 95% 8 points 75% - 89% 6 points 50% - 74% 3 points Under 50% 0 points	10	Definition: The percentage of <b>adults</b> participating in the program who exit with <b>income and/or benefits</b> .  Community results = 96%
<b>TH Income Results (Measured)</b> 95% - 100% 10 points 89% - 94% 8 points 75% - 88% 6 points 50% - 74% 3 points Under 50% 0 points	10	Definition: The percentage of <b>adults</b> participating in the program who exit with <b>income and/or benefits</b> .  Community results = 89%
<b>SSO Income Results (Measured)</b> 91% - 100% 10 points 85% - 90% 8 points 75% - 84% 6 points 50% - 74% 3 points Under 50% 0 points	10	Definition: The percentage of <b>adults</b> participating in the program who exit with <b>income and/or benefits</b> .  Community results = 85%

## **Employment Results**

Three different **Employment Results** scoring scales will be used – **one for PSH, one for TH and one for SSO projects**. The reason for this is that the historic community results in each category are different. *This is a change from 2010 when there was only one scale for all types of programs.*

The scales themselves are based on the principle that achievement of the community standard should receive 80% of the total available points while retaining an incentive for exceeding that level. The HUD standard is 20% and does not differentiate among different kinds of programs.

<b><i>Scoring element</i></b>	<b><i>Points</i></b>	<b><i>Comment</i></b>
<b>PSH Employment Results (Measured)</b> 27% - 100% 10 points 20% - 26% 8 points 15% - 19% 6 points 10% - 14% 3 points Under 10% 0 points	10	Definition: The percentage of <b>adults</b> participating in the program who exit with employment income.  HUD standard = 20%  Community results = 21%
<b>TH Employment Results (Measured)</b> 40% - 100% 10 points 34% - 39% 8 points 20% - 33% 6 points 10% - 19% 3 points Under 10% 0 points	10	Definition: The percentage of <b>adults</b> participating in the program who exit with employment income.  HUD standard = 20%  Community results = 34%
<b>SSO Employment Results (Measured)</b> 29% - 100% 10 points 23% - 28% 8 points 20% - 22% 6 points 10% - 19% 3 points Under 10% 0 points	10	Definition: The percentage of <b>adults</b> participating in the program who exit with employment income.  HUD standard = 20%  Community results = 23%

## **Rated Scales**

<i>Scoring element</i>	<i>Points</i>	<i>Comment</i>
<b>Comprehensiveness and Coordination</b>	10	No change from 2010.
<b>Need/Extent of Problem Addressed</b>	10	No change from 2010.
<b>Impact on Ending Homelessness</b>	10	No change from 2010.
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	10	No change from 2010.
<b>Organizational Capacity</b>	10	No change from 2010.
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	

**Note:** Scores, percentages and leverage ratios are **NOT** rounded up. For example, 1.99999% = 1%.